

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
. 10/751,361	01/05/2004	Axel Bumann	4965-000172	2201	
27572 75	590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			BUMGARNER, MELBA N		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2200 1222 1226,			3732	3732	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/751,361	BUMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Ť					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Melba Bumgarner ears on the cover sheet with the c	3732 orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Au	igust 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huskens et al. (5,836,768). Huskens et al. disclose an orthodontic implant system comprising an implant 10 having a shaft and a head adjoining the shaft at one end of the shaft, fastening means 50 on the head having at least one elongate recess 52, the recess formed in an outer surface of the head and extending substantially transversely to a longitudinal axis of the shaft and being open on at least one side of the head, a curable adhesive composition (column 5 line 27). Patentable weight is not given to the intended use of the adhesive composition; however, it is noted that the adhesive composition is capable of fixing an element in the recess. The recess is formed as a borehole. The shaft has a thread and a polygon is formed around the head. The process and the intermediate product used in the process by which the system is made are not given patentable weight, because a product claim is properly met if the final product is shown regardless of the process used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3732

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanomi et al. (6,354,834) in view of Watt et al. (5,707,231). Kanomi et al. disclose an orthodontic implant system comprising an implant 51 having a shaft 33 having a thread and a head 59 adjoining the shaft at one end of the shaft, fastening means on the head having two recesses in an outer surface of the head, at least one recess formed as a slit recessed at a free end of the head and having a depth, the two recesses crossing one another at right angles, the recess extending substantially transversely to a longitudinal axis of the shaft and being open on at least one side of the head, the free end has a coning which tapers toward the free end, a radially inwardly directed undercut adjoins the coning at an end opposite the tapered free end, a polygon formed around the head, and the shaft and head formed in one piece; however, they do not show a curable adhesive composition. Watt et al. teach an orthodontic system comprising a curable adhesive composition (column 10 line 10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kanomi et al. to include an adhesive composition curable by light as in Watt et al. in order to have a mechanical and chemical bonding between orthodontic elements in view of Watt et al. It would have been an obvious matter of choice as to the shape of the recess being in the shape of a borehole. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the process and the intermediate product used in the process by which the system is made, because a product claim is properly met if the final product is shown regardless of the process used.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,361

Art Unit: 3732

Page 4

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 3, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Huskens et al. show all the limitations as claimed. Applicant's argument with respect to rejection under 35 USC 103(a) has been considered but is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

Meloa Burngainer

Primary Examiner